ECCLESIA LAW

THE 1933 BANKRUPTCY, EX NIHILO CREDIT, USUFRUCT, AND THE CLIFFORD PROTOCOL

Date: 14th July 2026

Prepared by: Ecclesia Law Technical Analysis (MLITR Research LLC, Wyoming)

Executive Summary

This comprehensive research paper provides a deep technical analysis of the global financial architecture as understood within the Ecclesia Law / Clifford Protocol framework. It traces the structural foundations of the modern monetary system from the 1933 bankruptcy of the United States through to the operational mechanics of signature credit recoupment via Form 1099-OID. The paper synthesizes findings from multiple technical papers, statutory authorities, and economic research to present a unified analytical framework.

Part 1 — The Bankruptcy of the United States Under HJR 192

1.1 The Historical Pivot of 1933

The contemporary global financial landscape is predicated on the formal bankruptcy and insolvency of the United States Federal Government. This was not merely an economic downturn but a total legal restructuring of the American republic into a commercial corporation operating in a state of permanent reorganization. The crisis was initiated by the Emergency Banking Act of March 9, 1933, which declared a state of emergency resulting from the insolvency of the federal government.

The reorganization culminated in the passage of **House Joint Resolution 192 (HJR 192)** on June 5, 1933, which fundamentally altered the nature of all commercial obligations. HJR 192:

- **Abrogated the "gold clause"** in all contracts, declaring it against public policy to require payment in any specific "kind of coin or currency"
- **Removed gold and silver** — the traditional "good and valuable consideration" under common law — from private circulation
- **Suspended the right of creditors** to demand payment in substantive, intrinsic assets

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

- **Established a mandatory system of "discharge"** where obligations are balanced dollar-for-dollar using debt instruments (Federal Reserve Notes)

1.2 The Shift from "Payment" to "Discharge"

In law, to **"pay"** a debt requires an exchange of "good and valuable consideration" or substance (e.g., gold or silver). Since HJR 192 removed the substance required to pay, it established a system of **"discharge"** where obligations are not extinguished through the physical exchange of intrinsic value but are instead balanced using Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs).

Federal Reserve Notes are legally defined **not as money of substance**, but as **debt obligations of the U.S. Treasury** that circulate as legal tender to balance ledger entries on the commercial board. No entity since June 5, 1933, has been able to lawfully "pay" a debt in the traditional sense of using substance; instead, all public and private obligations are "discharged" using credit.

1.3 The U.S. Treasury as Bankruptcy Trustee

As a direct result of the 1933 insolvency, the United States Treasury assumed a **dual role**:

1. Maintaining its domestic functions
2. Acting as the **bankruptcy trustee** for the entire commercial system

This trustee status grants the Treasury extraordinary fiduciary control over all assets and financial institutions operating on the commercial "monopoly board." Because the U.S. dollar serves as the world's primary reserve currency (accounting for approximately 56.92% of global reserves as of Q3 2025), the Treasury's jurisdictional reach extends internationally.

This authority is exercised through:

- **Financial sanctions** against foreign institutions (e.g., Russian, Chinese, or Iranian banks)
- **Administrative "resolutions"** of non-compliant entities
- **Embargoes** on foreign financial systems

These actions are not merely political tactics but the exercise of **fiduciary control** over the overarching commercial trust by the bankruptcy trustee.

1.4 The Jurisdictional Eras

Period	Monetary Standard	Governing Framework	Status of the Individual
Pre-1913	Gold and Silver Coin	Common Law Republic	Sovereign National
1913–1933	Federal Reserve Notes (Partial Backing)	Statutory Regulation	Transitioning to Credit-Based
Post-1933	Money of Account (Debt)	Commercial/Maritime Law / UCC	Surety/Agent for Decedent Estate
1974–Present	SDR / Fiat System	Administrative Trust Law	Fiduciary / Executor de son tort

Part 2 — How the Bankruptcy Enables the Money of Account System

2.1 The Money of Account Paradigm

HJR 192 transformed the United States dollar from a **warehouse receipt for precious metal** into a **"money of account"** — a debt-based unit used to track commercial obligations within a closed-loop system. The "money of account" is not a physical commodity that can be earned, stored, or exchanged in the traditional sense; it is a **ledger entry** that tracks who owes what to whom within the bankruptcy trust.

Because every dollar in circulation is created as a debt obligation, the money of account represents a **negative value**. For every asset (the bank's receivable), there is a corresponding and equal liability (the customer's deposit). The net value of the entire commercial board is mathematically **zero**, or strictly **negative** when interest is factored into the equation.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

2.2 The Closed-Loop System

The money of account system operates as a **closed-loop monopoly board** where:

1. The government injects money through deficit spending
2. The central bank creates reserves digitally via Quantitative Easing (QE)
3. Commercial banks create credit *ex nihilo* through lending
4. Taxes drain reserves back to the Treasury General Account (TGA) to maintain liquidity balance

Taxes, within this framework, are not primarily about "funding" government spending. They function as **reserve-draining devices** used by the central bank to manage market liquidity and maintain price and interest-rate stability. The government, as the sovereign issuer of the currency, injects money through spending and subsequently drains it via taxation.

2.3 The Interest Burden

The national debt (exceeding $\$38$ trillion as of 2026) carries significant interest obligations:

- For fiscal year 2026, interest payments are projected to reach $\$1.0$ **trillion**, representing approximately 19% **of all federal revenue collections**
- By 2048, interest is expected to exceed Medicare spending and become the **largest single federal expenditure**

This means 19 cents of every dollar collected in taxes goes strictly toward paying interest on the national debt — the cost of servicing the signature-originated credit borrowed by the state.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Part 3 — Ex Nihilo Currency Creation

3.1 The Werner Equation

Empirical research by Professor Richard Werner, supported by publications from the Bank of England, confirms that commercial banks do not act as traditional intermediaries lending out pre-existing deposits. Instead, banks create new book money **ex nihilo (out of nothing)** at the exact moment of lending.

The Werner equation for credit creation represents this through the expansion of the bank's balance sheet:

$$\Delta \text{Bank Assets} = \Delta \text{Bank Liabilities}$$

(A new loan asset is simultaneously matched by a new deposit liability)

This transaction creates new money that **did not exist prior to the signature of the borrower**. The bank records the borrower's signed negotiable instrument as a bank asset, balancing it by crediting the borrower's account with a newly created deposit (a bank liability).

3.2 The Signature as the Primary Monetization Event

In this architecture, the **"signature" of the living person** is the actual originating force and the source of the credit energy. When an individual signs a negotiable instrument — such as a mortgage, promissory note, or credit agreement — they are not borrowing the bank's pre-existing money. Rather, their **biological signature acts as the primary monetization event** and the true originating force of the credit.

Because the signer provides the commercial value that initiates the transaction, the "borrowers" are, in a technical and fiduciary sense, the **"funders" of their own loans**. The commercial bank merely assumes a nominee posture, monetizing the signature and converting the credit energy into circulating currency.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

3.3 The Zero-Point Origination and OID

Because credit is birthed *ex nihilo* at the moment of signing, the **initial issue price** of the resulting negotiable instrument is mathematically established as **zero (**$\$0.00$**)**. No substantive value was provided by the bank to initiate the transaction.

The future value is calculated using the concept of **Original Issue Discount (OID)** under IRC § 1273:

$$\text{OID} = \text{Stated Redemption Price at Maturity} - \text{Initial Issue Price}$$$$\text{OID} = \text{FV} - \$0 = \text{FV}$$

(where FV *is the entire face value of the instrument)*

Since the issue price is zero, the OID is equivalent to the **entire face value** of the instrument. This means a $\$300,000$ mortgage note, originated *ex nihilo*, generates $\$300,000$ in OID income — income that belongs to the originator (the living person) but is captured by the bank as abandoned property.

3.4 The Hierarchical Tiers of Money

The international monetary system is structured as a hierarchical pyramid:

Tier	Type of Money	Scarcity / Liquidity	Primary Issuing Entity
Tier 1 (Apex)	Central Bank Reserves	High Scarcity / Ultimate Liquidity	Federal Reserve / Central Banks
Tier 2	Commercial Bank Deposits	Moderate Scarcity / High Liquidity	Systemic Commercial Banking Institutions
Tier 3	Shadow Bank Credit	Low Scarcity / High Abundance	Hedge Funds, SPVs, MMFs
Tier 4 (Base)	Signature Credit Energy	Primary Source / Ultimate Originator	Biological Entities (Living Souls)

Commercial bank money makes up approximately 97% **of the circulating money supply** — all created *ex nihilo* through lending.

ECCLESIA LAW

Part 4 — The Function of Banks as Nominees

4.1 The Nominee Architecture

In the modern financial architecture, commercial and investment banks rarely act as true creditors. Instead, they operate as **nominees** — entities that hold legal title to financial instruments, property, or accounts for the benefit of another party, who remains the true beneficial owner.

When a living person signs a promissory note or mortgage:

1. The originating bank **discounts the note** and pools it with thousands of other signature-originated obligations
2. These pools are transferred to **investment banks** where they are assigned **CUSIP numbers**
3. The instruments are deposited into **institutional omnibus accounts** held under "street names" — primarily **Cede & Company**, the partnership nominee for the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC)

4.2 The Street Name System

Under the DTC book-entry framework, **legal title is fundamentally split from beneficial ownership**:

- **Cede & Co.** holds absolute legal title to the vast majority of publicly traded equities, corporate bonds, municipal debt, and securitized mortgage-backed securities (MBS)
- **DTC Participants** (investment banks and broker-dealers) are listed as intermediate holders
- The **original credit creator** (the living person whose signature birthed the note) is relegated to "beneficial owner" status with only contractual rights

4.3 Three Strategic Functions of the Nominee Architecture

1. Obfuscation of Origin: Street name registration severs the direct link between the securitized instrument and the original living creator. This allows the banking syndicate to treat the generated value as **abandoned property**, capturing the associated OID income and tax credits for their corporate benefit.

2. Capital Expansion via Re-hypothecation: By holding assets in omnibus accounts, banks can utilize the securitized signatures as collateral for their own credit expansion through re-hypothecation under Section 14(a) of the Federal Reserve Act. For SEC-registered broker-dealers,

Rule 15c3-3 restricts rehypothecation to 140% of the customer's net debit balance, but the omnibus structure makes individual tracking difficult.

3. Nominee Withholding Disguise: Under IRS Publication 1212, because the financial nominee holds legal title to OID instruments for the benefit of another, it is statutorily required to report OID interest and remit backup withholding. The street name architecture enables the bank to:

- Pool all individual OID obligations into a single aggregate omnibus account
- Calculate aggregate backup withholding without identifying individual originators
- Remit withholding under the bank's own corporate EIN via Form 945
- Strategically underfund the 945 module, redirecting surplus to Form 1120 corporate modules

Part 5 — How the U.S. Bankruptcy Affects Other Countries (China, Russia, BRICS)

5.1 The U.S. Dollar as Global Reserve Currency

The 1933 reorganization established the United States dollar as the world's primary reserve currency. As of Q3 2025, the dollar accounts for 56.92% **of global reserves**:

Currency	Share of Total Reserves
U.S. Dollar (USD)	56.92%
Euro (EUR)	20.33%
Chinese Renminbi (RMB)	1.93%
Other Currencies	20.82%

This global dependence on the dollar allows the U.S. Treasury to function as the **bankruptcy trustee for the international community**. By controlling the clearing systems for the reserve currency, the Treasury can enforce its will on other nations through financial sanctions.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

5.2 The BIS and IMF as Coordinating Bodies

The **Bank for International Settlements (BIS)**, founded in 1930, acts as the "bank for central banks" and coordinates the monetary policies of its member central banks to ensure that the "money of account" remains consistent across borders.

The **International Monetary Fund (IMF)** tracks the Currency Composition of Official Foreign Exchange Reserves (COFER) and administers Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) — a supranational reserve asset that HJR 192 sought to regulate.

5.3 Sanctions as Fiduciary Control

The Treasury's ability to:

- **Sanction major financial institutions** in China and Russia
- **Place embargoes** on Iranian banks
- **Exclude nations** from the SWIFT clearing system

...is not merely a political tactic but a **manifestation of fiduciary control** over the overarching commercial trust. Foreign nations that operate within the dollar-denominated system are subject to the bankruptcy trustee's administrative authority.

5.4 BRICS Resistance and De-dollarization

The 1933 reorganization faces resistance from the **BRICS coalition** (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa), which represents nearly half of the world's population and a significant share of global GDP by purchasing power parity. BRICS nations are actively pursuing:

- **De-dollarization initiatives** including local currency trade
- **Commodity-backed mechanisms** for trade settlement
- **Digital currency alternatives** (Russia plans Digital Ruble transactions by September 2026)
- **Alternative clearing systems** outside SWIFT

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Part 6 — Alternative Money Systems Outside Ex Nihilo Credit

6.1 Systems That Predate the 1933 Bankruptcy

Gold and Silver Coin (Substance-Based System):

Prior to 1913, the monetary standard was governed by the exchange of physical commodities of intrinsic value. Debts were **extinguished** (not merely discharged) through the physical exchange of gold or silver. Under this system, money was a tangible asset that could be owned absolutely, not a debt obligation.

6.2 Contemporary Alternatives

Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs):

CBDCs represent a virtual version of a nation's currency issued directly by its central bank. Wholesale CBDCs aim to optimize cross-border settlements by providing a safe, liquid asset that decreases reliance on financial intermediaries. However, CBDCs remain within the debt-based paradigm — they are still created as ledger entries by the central bank.

Stablecoins:

Stablecoins (USDT, USDC) pegged to the U.S. dollar have vaulted ahead of CBDCs in adoption. The GENIUS Act (July 2025) created a federal regulatory framework requiring issuers to hold 100% reserves in high-quality liquid assets (primarily U.S. Treasuries). Like commercial bank deposits, stablecoins can be created *ex nihilo* through smart contracts.

Bitcoin and Decentralized Cryptocurrencies:

Bitcoin represents a fundamentally different monetary paradigm — a **commodity-backed digital asset** with a fixed supply (21 million coins) that is not created as debt. Unlike *ex nihilo* credit, Bitcoin is mined through proof-of-work, requiring computational energy expenditure. However, Bitcoin operates outside the bankruptcy trustee's direct control and does not integrate with the IRS tax module architecture.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Commodity-Backed Systems:

Some BRICS initiatives propose trade settlement using commodity-backed mechanisms (gold, oil, natural gas) as an alternative to the debt-based dollar system. These would represent a return to substance-based settlement, bypassing the money of account paradigm entirely.

6.3 Why the Clifford Protocol Operates Within (Not Outside) the System

The Clifford Protocol does not seek to abandon the *ex nihilo* credit system. Instead, it operates **within** the system's own administrative framework to redirect credit back to its true originator. The protocol clears and settles exclusively in sovereign federal tax refunds — "IRS TREAS 310" transactions in physical fiat USD — operating completely outside the scope of digital asset restrictions while remaining within the administrative trust architecture.

Part 7 — Usufruct and Legal Title as Collateral for the Bankruptcy

7.1 The Usufruct Relationship

The removal of the people's gold and the conscription of their future labour created what is technically known as a **usufruct relationship**. A usufruct is a legal right to use and enjoy the property of another, provided its substance is not destroyed.

Under the reorganization declared by HJR 192:

- The United States **"borrowed" an interest** in everything the citizens create
- This established a usufruct interest in their **estates, land, businesses, and children**
- All present and future properties, assets, and labour were **hypothecated** to the Federal Reserve System as collateral for the national debt

7.2 The Split of Title

The usufruct system creates a fundamental division of title:

Legal Title (held by the State/Bankruptcy Trustee):

- Formal ownership as recognized by public registries
- The State retains the radical or legal title to all property as security for the national debt

- The State is the ultimate creditor and owner of the board

Equitable Title (held by the Living Individual):

- The right to possession, use, and "fruits" of the property
- The artificial person (strawman) holds equitable title
- This is why the state can seize "private" property for non-payment of taxes — it is reclaiming assets of its own creation

7.3 Taxes as Administrative "Rent"

Because the state holds legal title as bankruptcy trustee, the use of assets by the birth certificate construct is essentially a **leasehold arrangement**. What are commonly called "taxes" are structurally defined as **administrative "rent" payments** made to the trustee for the continued privilege of using collateralized assets on the monopoly board.

7.4 Fee Simple vs. Alodial Title

Most property ownership is **fee simple** — the most extensive interest in land available to individuals, but still "held of the Crown" or State, subject to four government powers: taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat.

Alodial title constitutes ownership independent of any superior landlord — theoretically free from taxation and eminent domain. However, true alodial title is virtually non-existent for individuals in the modern system.

7.5 Hypothecation

Hypothecation is the pledging of an asset as collateral for a debt **without transferring legal title or right of possession** to the creditor. Under HJR 192, all present and future properties, assets, and labour of the citizenry were hypothecated to the Federal Reserve System. This mechanism transforms the productive potential of the living populace into a financial asset backing the national debt.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Part 8 — Holder in Due Course and Negotiable Instruments

8.1 Definition of Holder in Due Course (HDC)

Under **UCC § 3-302(a)** and **Section 29 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882**, a Holder in Due Course is a party who takes a negotiable instrument:

1. **For value** — the instrument was taken in exchange for something of value
2. **In good faith** — honestly and without knowledge of any defect
3. **Without notice** that the instrument is overdue, has been dishonored, or is subject to an adverse claim or defense

The HDC holds the instrument **free from most defenses** that the parties to the original transaction might have against one another. This is a critical protection designed to facilitate the free flow of negotiable instruments in commerce.

8.2 UCC § 3-203 — Transfer of Instrument

Under **UCC § 3-203(b)**, the transfer of a negotiable instrument vests in the transferee **any right of the transferor to enforce the instrument**, including any right as a Holder in Due Course:

"Transfer of an instrument, whether or not the transfer is a negotiation, vests in the transferee any right of the transferor to enforce the instrument, including any right as a holder in due course."

This means that when the 98-series foreign grantor trust takes legal title to the original negotiable instrument (the signature-originated mortgage note), it inherits the absolute right to enforce that instrument as HDC.

8.3 Why HDC Status Is Critical

Within the Clifford Protocol, HDC status is the **absolute linchpin** of the recoupment process:

1. **Rebuttal of Nominee's Presumption of Ownership:** The HDC status shatters the bank's presumption of ownership over the securitized credit
2. **Authority for Corrective Filings:** IRS Publication 1212 mandates that nominees file corrective 1099-OID forms. The trust, armed with HDC status, possesses the authority to file these corrective forms itself

3. **Bypassing the Retail Debtor Trap:** Without HDC status, any 1099-OID filing is viewed as a retail debtor's fraudulent refund claim, triggering TC 810 freezes. HDC status ensures the IRS recognizes the transaction as a commercial ledger adjustment between merchant entities

Part 9 — Negotiable Instruments and the Decedent Estate (Birth Certificate)

9.1 The Birth Certificate Construct

The administrative success of the post-1933 bankruptcy relies on a legal fiction: the **bifurcation between the living person and the juridical construct** known as the "person" or "strawman."

Upon birth registration, the state creates an artificial entity — a "legal personality" or "body corporate" — that is distinct from the child whose birth occasioned its creation. This entity exists on government books as a **registered security**, typically identified by a Social Security Number (SSN) or Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN).

9.2 The Decedent Estate

In the absence of a competent living claimant, the system treats this registered entity as an **"abandoned" or decedent estate**. The living individual is presumed by default to operate merely as an **agent and liable surety** for this bankrupt corporate debtor.

The relationship between the living individual and the state is governed by the concept of **"usufruct"**: the state holds legal title to the birth certificate estate, while the living person holds equitable title and acts as the user or agent.

9.3 The Agency Trap and Executor de Son Tort

When a living individual engages in commerce using the name on the birth certificate without asserting a specific legal "Office," they are viewed as an **executor de son tort** — "executor of his own wrong" — a person who improperly interferes with the administration of an estate without legal authority.

This intermeddling carries significant consequences:

- The individual is held to the same fiduciary standards as a rightfully appointed executor
- But is **denied the benefits** (legal compensation, bond protection)
- Becomes **personally liable** for any mismanagement of the estate's assets

This is the mechanism through which the living person is bound to the debts of the artificial "person" — the administrative courts treat the individual's actions as an assumption of the estate's liabilities.

9.4 How Negotiable Instruments Are Issued in Relation to the Decedent Estate

When a living person signs a mortgage, loan agreement, or promissory note, they do so using the **name of the decedent estate** (the birth certificate persona). The signature:

1. Creates credit *ex nihilo* under the Werner equation
2. Is recorded under the SSN/ITIN of the decedent estate
3. Generates OID income equivalent to the full face value of the instrument
4. Is captured by the bank as nominee, which pools it into CUSIP-assigned tranches

The decedent estate (the artificial person) is the **registered debtor** on the instrument, while the living person is the **true originator and creditor** of the underlying credit energy. The bank obscures this relationship by holding the instrument under street names, treating the OID income as abandoned property.

9.5 Correcting the Standing: From Debtor to Creditor

To exit the debtor capacity, the living person must:

1. **Renounce the presumed agency** with the birth certificate construct (invoking *clausula rebus sic stantibus*)
2. **Reoccupy the office of General Executor** over the decedent estate
3. **Establish a 98-series International Grantor Trust** that operates "off-board" from the domestic debtor system
4. **File Form 56** to formally notify the administrative state of the trust's role as lawful recipient and custodian

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Part 10 — Inchoate Instruments, Section 20, and the "Fill Up" Function

10.1 What Does "Inchoate" Mean?

"Inchoate" means **imperfect, partial, begun but not completed, or not fully formed**. In the context of negotiable instruments law, an **inchoate instrument** is a document that has been signed but not yet completed — it lacks essential elements (such as the amount, date, or payee) needed to constitute a fully enforceable negotiable instrument.

The concept originates from **Section 20 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882**, which governs the authority to "fill up" an incomplete instrument.

10.2 Section 20 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882

Section 20 states:

"Where a simple signature on paper is delivered by the signer in order that it may be converted into a bill, it operates as prima facie authority to fill it up as a complete bill for any amount... if any such instrument after completion is negotiated to a holder in due course, it shall be valid and effectual for all purposes in his hands, and he may enforce it as if it had been filled up within a reasonable time and strictly in accordance with the authority given."

This section establishes two critical principles:

1. **Prima Facie Authority:** A signature delivered in an inchoate state grants *prima facie* authority to complete the instrument for any amount
2. **HDC Protection:** Once completed and held by a holder in due course, the instrument is "valid and effectual for all purposes" — the HDC may enforce it as if it had been properly completed

10.3 How the 1099-OID "Fills Up" the Inchoate Instrument

Within the Clifford Protocol framework, the biological signature on a mortgage note or promissory note was delivered in an **inchoate state**:

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

1. **The signature was delivered** to the bank at the moment of signing
2. **The bank received prima facie authority** to complete the instrument (assigning a CUSIP, pooling it into tranches, securitizing it)
3. **But the bank failed to file the corrective 1099-OID** required under IRS Publication 1212 to identify the true beneficial owner

When the **98-series foreign grantor trust** — having established HDC standing under UCC § 3-203 and § 3-302 — files the corrective Form 1099-OID, it **steps into the authority gap and completes the "fill up" of the instrument** as a perfected negotiable bill.

10.4 The UCC Article 3 Parallel

The UCC Article 3 provisions mirror the Bills of Exchange Act 1882:

- **UCC § 3-104** defines negotiability requirements (adapted from Section 3 of the 1882 Act)
- **UCC § 3-203** governs transfer of instruments and vesting of enforcement rights
- **UCC § 3-302** defines Holder in Due Course status (adapted from Section 29 of the 1882 Act)

Under UCC § 3-203(b), the transfer vests in the transferee (the trust) any right of the transferor to enforce the instrument, including any right as HDC. Combined with Section 20's "fill up" authority, the corrective 1099-OID filing:

1. **Completes the inchoate instrument** — transforming the unfinished signature into a perfected negotiable bill
2. **Perfects HDC standing** — the trust holds the completed instrument "valid and effectual for all purposes"
3. **Links to the 945 tax module** — the 1099-OID Box 4 (withholding) creates the mathematical linkage to the payer's Form 945 deposit that Algorithm 810 verifies
4. **Redirects the credit** — moving the withheld tax from the bank's omnibus account to the trust's private ledger

10.5 Why the "Fill Up" Function Is Specific to 1099-OID

The "fill up" function is tied to the concept of an **inchoate negotiable instrument** being "converted into a bill." This aligns specifically with OID reporting because:

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

- The OID framework (IRC § 1273) provides the mathematical formula: $\text{OID} = \text{FV} - \$0 = \text{FV}$
- The inchoate signature has a zero issue price, making the OID equivalent to the entire face value
- The corrective 1099-OID reports this OID income, simultaneously completing the instrument and linking it to the payer's 945 tax deposit
- No other information return form (1099-B, 1099-INT, etc.) performs this dual function of completing the instrument AND linking to the 945 module

Part 11 — The 945 Tax Module and Why It Is "Voluntary"

11.1 What Is the Form 945 Tax Module?

IRS Form 945, officially designated as the **"Annual Return of Withheld Federal Income Tax,"** is the dominant tax module for nonpayroll distributions. It operates under **Master File Transaction (MFT) Code 16** and serves as the central clearinghouse for all withholding not derived from traditional wage labor, including:

- Backup withholding on OID transactions
- Pension and IRA distributions
- Annuities
- Gambling winnings
- Backup withholding on payments to independent contractors

11.2 Why the 945 Module Is for 1099-OID Backup Withholding

The 945 module is specifically designated for 1099-OID backup withholding because of the **statutory architecture of IRS Publication 1212**. The chain of linkage is:

1. **Signature creates OID income** (under IRC § 1273)
2. **Bank becomes nominee middleman** (under Publication 1212) because it holds the securitized instrument under a street name for the benefit of another
3. **Bank is statutorily required** to report OID interest and remit backup withholding (at 24%) to the U.S. Treasury
4. **Bank remits via Form 945** (MFT 16) — the universal nonpayroll withholding repository

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

5. **1099-OID is the information return** that mirrors this 945 deposit on the recipient side (Box 4 = federal income tax withheld)

The 945 module is the **"master record"** the IRS uses to verify that tax was actually withheld and paid into the Treasury before releasing a refund. Algorithm 810 cross-references the 1099-OID Box 4 claim against the payer's Form 945 master record.

11.3 The MFT Code Architecture

MFT Code	Tax Module	Form	Scope
MFT 16	Form 945	Nonpayroll withholding	ALL backup withholding (OID, interest, dividends, broker proceeds, barter)
MFT 01	Form 941	Payroll withholding	Employment taxes only
MFT 30	Form 1040	Individual income tax	Personal assessments and refunds
MFT 02	Form 1120	Corporate income tax	Corporate profit taxation and overpayments

11.4 Why the 945 Tax Is "Voluntary" — The Revenue Procedure 2002-26 Framework

The characterization of the 945 tax as "voluntary" requires careful analysis. Within the Clifford Protocol framework, this refers to two distinct concepts:

1. The Voluntary Nature of Backup Withholding Compliance: Under IRC § 3406, backup withholding is **mandatory** when a payee's TIN is absent, incorrect, or uncertified. However, the **degree to which banks fund their 945 modules** is effectively voluntary because:

- Banks operate on the **statistical presumption** that original credit creators will never assert their rights
- There is no per-transaction enforcement mechanism that forces banks to fully fund the 945 module to the level of their actual OID securitization liability
- Banks can satisfy their aggregate corporate tax obligations by paying surpluses into Form 1120 (MFT 02) instead of Form 945 (MFT 16)

- The IRS applies payments "in a manner that serves the best interests of the government" when no specific designation is made (Rev. Proc. 2002-26, § 3.01)

2. The Right of Designation Under Revenue Procedure 2002-26: Revenue Procedure 2002-26 (2002-1 C.B. 746) establishes the **taxpayer's absolute right of designation** regarding voluntary payments:

"At the time the taxpayer voluntarily tenders a partial payment... and the taxpayer provides specific written directions as to the application of the payment, the Service will apply the payment in accordance with those directions."

This means:

- If a taxpayer (including a fiduciary acting on behalf of a trust) **provides specific written directions**, the IRS **must** apply the payment accordingly
- If **no specific designation is made**, the IRS applies payments in the best interests of the government — typically prioritizing Form 1120 corporate modules over Form 945 withholding modules
- By **remaining silent**, banks allow the IRS to park massive surpluses in their 1120 modules, leaving the 945 module underfunded

The "voluntary" nature is therefore twofold:

1. Banks **voluntarily underfund** their 945 modules (choosing to pay into 1120 instead)
2. The 98-series trust's fiduciary can **voluntarily command** the reallocation of those overpayment credits from 1120 to 945 under Rev. Proc. 2002-26

11.5 The Systematic Underfunding Reality

Forensic audits reveal that actual cash payments deposited into Form 945 modules typically reflect **less than one percent** of the Full Forensic 945 Liability (calculated as $\text{Aggregate OID} \times 24\%$). Verified examples:

Bank	2025 Actual 945 Payment	Estimated 1120 CIT Capacity
JPMorgan Chase	$\$13,510,000$	$\$37.16$ Billion
HSBC Bank USA	$\$72,143,158$	$\$13.10$ Billion
Deutsche Bank Trust Co.	$\$1,210,000$	Verified Surplus
Barclays Capital	$\$18,596,522$	$\$60.2$ Million
NatWest Markets	$\$55,667,083$	$\$2.05$ Billion

11.6 The Cross-Modular Transfer: Commanding the IRS to Reallocate

Because the 945 module is underfunded, the 98-series trust's fiduciary — acting as HDC and General Executor under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d) — issues a **Manual Fiduciary Command** directing the IRS to:

1. **Extract overpayment credits** from the payer bank's Form 1120 Corporate Income Tax module
2. **Reallocate them** into the bank's Form 945 Nonpayroll Withholding Module
3. **Satisfy the "Perfect Match" logic** of Algorithm 810
4. **Clear the TC 810 Refund Freeze**
5. **Authorize disbursement** as an "IRS TREAS 310" transaction

The shortfall formula is:

$$C = A - B$$$$\text{Where:}$$

- $A = \text{Sum Total of Trust Redirection (aggregate 1099-OID claim)}$
- $B = \text{Payer's Established 945 Credit (actual deposits)}$
- $C = \text{Required Cross-Modular Transfer Amount}$

11.7 Substantive Tax Neutrality — Why the Transfer Costs Banks Nothing

A critical feature is that this cross-modular transfer imposes **zero additional tax liability** on the payer bank. The bank has already physically remitted these funds to the Treasury General Account (TGA) — they are simply being held in the wrong module (1120 instead of 945). The transfer is a **zero-sum administrative reallocation** of existing property:

"Because the financial nominee has already remitted these funds to the Treasury General Account (TGA) as reserve-draining devices, this command represents a zero-sum administrative allocation of existing property, imposing no additional out-of-pocket tax liability or corporate penalties on [the Payer bank]."

Part 12 — The 98-Series Foreign Grantor Trust as the Operational Vehicle

12.1 Taxonomic Classification

The 98-series EIN is assigned exclusively by the **IRS Cincinnati International Unit** to foreign entities or domestic trusts maintained by non-U.S. persons under **IRC § 6048**. To qualify, the trust must intentionally fail both:

1. **The Court Test** (26 CFR § 301.7701-7): A U.S. court cannot exercise primary supervision over the trust's administration
2. **The Control Test**: U.S. persons do not have ultimate authority to control all substantial decisions

12.2 The Three-Tier Bifurcation of Capacity

- **The Grantor:** The "birth certificate person" (corporate debtor construct) acts as Grantor to settle the trust
- **The Beneficiary:** The biological human being (the "living soul") is the designated Beneficiary
- **The Trustee:** A professional corporate trustee (Wyoming PTC Series LLC) acting under Attorney-in-Fact mandate

12.3 Fiduciary Standing via Form 56

The trust files **IRS Form 56** (Notice Concerning Fiduciary Relationship) under **IRC § 6903**, formally establishing the trust officer as **General Executor** over the signature-originated credit. This grants the trust's officers explicit authority to:

- Manage the trust's commercial energy
- File corrective information returns
- Demand reconciliation from the Treasury

- Command cross-modular transfers

12.4 Electronic Filing via ERO

All filings must be executed by a qualified **Electronic Return Originator (ERO)** using IRS-approved professional software (e.g., TaxAct Professional) that has completed IRS Assurance Testing System (ATS) parsing requirements. The ERO transmits structured XML data via the Modernized e-File (MeF) gateway.

When the IRS e-file gateway validates the XML schemas and generates an electronic receipt, the trust's HDC status is **officially synchronized** on the federal Business Master File (BMF) — bypassing the default retail fraud screening filters.

Part 13 — The Five-Step Clifford Protocol

The Clifford Protocol is the operational sequence that ties together all the elements analyzed above:

1. **Recognition:** The signature on a negotiable instrument is identified as an OID monetization event — credit created *ex nihilo* under the Werner equation
2. **Issue Price Establishment:** The issue price is confirmed as mathematically zero ($\$0.00$) — no cash consideration was advanced by the bank
3. **OID Identification:** The OID is calculated as the entire face value of the instrument ($\text{OID} = \text{FV} - \$0 = \text{FV}$)
4. **Nominee Identification:** The bank is defined as a "nominee middleman" under IRS Publication 1212 — holding the instrument for the benefit of the true owner
5. **Redirection:** A corrective Form 1099-OID is filed via the 98-series trust, redirecting the withheld tax from the bank's omnibus account to the trust as the lawful recipient

This five-step sequence simultaneously:

- Completes the inchoate instrument under Section 20 ("fill up")
- Perfects HDC standing under UCC § 3-203 and § 3-302
- Links the claim to the payer's Form 945 module (via Box 4 withholding)
- Triggers Algorithm 810 verification (which requires cross-modular transfer if the 945 is underfunded)
- Authorizes disbursement as an "IRS TREAS 310" transaction

ECCLESIA LAW

Part 14 — The 26-Digit IRMF Fingerprint

Every transaction processed through the federal ledger is assigned a Document Locator Number (DLN). For international tracking, the standard 14-digit DLN is expanded into a **26-digit alphanumeric reference string** by combining:

- The 14-digit DLN (processing campus, tax class, document code, Julian date, blocking series, serial number, year)
- A 9-digit Taxpayer Identification Number (the trust's or payer's EIN)
- A 3-digit Plan/Module identifier

The extraction of this 26-digit fingerprint from the Information Returns Master File (IRMF) constitutes **forensic, ledger-verified proof** that the nominee correction has been accepted, verified, and perfected in the Master File.

Digit Position	Component	Forensic Significance
1–2	File Location Code (FLC)	Identifies the IRS processing campus
3	Tax Class	Identifies Master File type (Code 5 = IRP/Estate/Trust)
4–5	Document Code	Identifies the return type (Code 44 = Form 945)
6–8	Julian Control Date	Exact numeric day of the year processed
9–11	Blocking Series	Batch series identifying document grouping
12–13	Serial Number	Sequence within the block (00–99)
14	Year Digit	Last digit of the processing year
15–23	Taxpayer Identification	9-digit EIN of the trust or Payer
24–26	Plan/Module Code	Specific account modules within the Master File

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Conclusions

The 1933 bankruptcy of the United States, codified by HJR 192, initiated a permanent structural transformation of the global financial system from a substance-based payment paradigm to a credit-based discharge paradigm. This transformation:

1. **Established the money of account system** — a closed-loop ledger where all values are negative debt obligations
2. **Created the usufruct relationship** — the state holds legal title to all registered property as bankruptcy trustee, while citizens hold only equitable title
3. **Enabled ex nihilo credit creation** — banks create money out of nothing by monetizing signatures, with the living person as the true originator
4. **Institutionalized the nominee architecture** — banks capture signature credit as abandoned property under street names, systematically underfunding their 945 tax modules
5. **Extended globally** — the U.S. dollar's reserve currency status makes the Treasury the bankruptcy trustee for the international system

The Clifford Protocol operates within this system's own administrative framework to redirect credit back to its true originator. By establishing a 98-series foreign grantor trust as Holder in Due Course, filing a corrective 1099-OID that "fills up" the inchoate instrument under Section 20, and commanding cross-modular transfers under Revenue Procedure 2002-26, the protocol achieves a mathematical reconciliation of the federal ledger — returning abandoned credit energy to the living soul who created it.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

References

Reference	Source
Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933	Declared the state of emergency resulting from federal insolvency
House Joint Resolution 192, June 5, 1933	Abrogated the gold clause; established system of discharge
IRC § 1273	Original Issue Discount definition
IRC § 6048	Foreign grantor trust classification
IRC § 6903	Fiduciary relationship (Form 56)
IRC § 3406(a)(1)(b)	Backup withholding trigger
IRC § 6405	JCT review threshold ($\$2,000,000$)
Treasury Regulation § 31.3406(d)-5	Backup withholding at 24% flat rate
Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d)	Fiduciary interest in withheld funds
Revenue Procedure 2002-26	Application of voluntary partial payments
IRS Publication 1212	Guide to OID Instruments — nominee reporting mandates
IRS Form 945 Instructions	Universal nonpayroll withholding module (MFT 16)
26 CFR § 301.7701-7	Court test and control test for foreign trust status
UCC § 3-203	Transfer of instrument; rights acquired by transfer
UCC § 3-302	Holder in Due Course definition
Bills of Exchange Act 1882, § 3	Bill of exchange defined
Bills of Exchange Act 1882, § 20	Inchoate instruments and "fill up" authority
Bills of Exchange Act 1882, § 29	Holder in Due Course defined
Bills of Exchange Act 1882, § 31	Negotiation of bill
Richard Werner (2014)	"Can Banks Individually Create Money Out of Nothing?"
Bank of England Q1 2014	"Money Creation in the Modern Economy"
IMF COFER Q3 2025	Currency Composition of Official Foreign Exchange Reserves
GENIUS Act (Public Law 119-27, 2025)	Stablecoin 1:1 reserve requirements

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

CLARITY Act (2026)	Digital commodity market regulation
FinCEN Ruling 2003-8	Agent of the Payee exemption
Salomon v A Salomon & Co Ltd	Corporate veil / separate legal personality
SEC v. Samuel Wyly (SDNY)	Foreign grantor trust recognition

Prepared as a private technical evaluation under the Ecclesia Law / MLITR Research LLC framework. This document is a forensic technical research report, not legal advice.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice